


[SEARCH](#) | [BROWSE](#) | [TIPS](#) | [SET PREFERENCES](#) | [ABOUT JSTOR](#) | [CONTACT](#)

 SORT BY: [SAVED](#) | [MOST RECENT](#) | [OLDEST](#)

 Your access to JSTOR
United States Patent and Trademark Office

[EXIT JSTOR](#)

Saved Citations

You currently have 5 citations saved, sorted by the original, saved order. See [tips for working with JSTOR citations](#).

[RETURN TO PREVIOUS PAGE](#)

 Export citations in format.
[REMOVE ALL CITATIONS](#)

1.

Futures Contracts on Commodities with Multiple Varieties: An Analysis of Premiums and Discounts

Kenneth D. Garbade; William L. Silber

The Journal of Business, Vol. 56, No. 3. (Jul., 1983), pp. 249-272.

Stable URL:

<http://links.jstor.org/sici?sici=0021-9398%28198307%2956%3A3%3C249%3AFCO>

Abstract: In this paper we examine the structure of futures contracts on commodities with heterogeneous varieties. The structure of premiums and discounts allowed on different grades of a commodity is analyzed. We then identify penalty and equivalence systems for quality adjustments. We also explore the implications of the adjustment system for the risk exposure to hedgers using the futures contract. We find that there is no prima facie case for setting contract premiums and discounts equal to actual commercial premiums and discounts.

[Remove from List](#)

2.

Contents of Current Periodicals

Journal of Economic Literature, Vol. 32, No. 3. (Sep., 1994), pp. 1383-1457.

Stable URL:

<http://links.jstor.org/sici?sici=0022-0515%28199409%2932%3A3%3C1383%3ACO>
[Remove from List](#)

3.

Inferring Future Volatility from the Information in Implied Volatility in Eurodollar Options: A New Approach

Kaushik I. Amin; Victor K. Ng

The Review of Financial Studies, Vol. 10, No. 2. (Summer, 1997), pp. 333-367.

Stable URL:

<http://links.jstor.org/sici?sici=0893-9454%28199722%2910%3A2%3C333%3AIFV>

Abstract: We study the information content of implied volatility from several volatility specifications of the Heath-Jarrow-Morton (1992) (HJM) models relative to popular historical volatility models in the Eurodollar options market. The implied volatility from the HJM models explains much of the variation of realized interest rate volatility over both daily and monthly horizons. The implied volatility dominates the GARCH terms, the Glosten et al. (1993) type asymmetric volatility terms, and the interest rate level. However, it cannot explain that the impact of interest rate shocks on the volatility is lower when interest rates are low than when they are high.

[Remove from List](#)

4.

Futures-Trading Activity and Stock Price Volatility (in Shorter Papers)

Hendrik Bessembinder; Paul J. Seguin

The Journal of Finance, Vol. 47, No. 5. (Dec., 1992), pp. 2015-2034.

Stable URL:

<http://links.jstor.org/sici?sici=0022-1082%28199212%2947%3A5%3C2015%3AFA>

Abstract: We examine whether greater futures-trading activity (volume and open interest) is associated with greater equity volatility. We partition each trading activity series into expected and unexpected components, and document that while equity volatility covaries positively with unexpected futures-trading volume, it is negatively related to forecastable futures-trading activity. Further, though futures-trading activity is systematically related to the futures contract life cycle, we find no evidence of a relation between the futures life cycle and spot equity volatility. These findings are consistent with theories predicting that active futures markets enhance the liquidity and depth of the equity markets.

[Remove from List](#)

5.

Playing the Turn-Of-The-Year Effect with Index Futures (in OR Practice)

Ross Clark; William T. Ziemba

Operations Research, Vol. 35, No. 6. (Nov. - Dec., 1987), pp. 799-813.

Stable URL:

<http://links.jstor.org/sici?sici=0030-364X%28198711%2F12%2935%3A6%3C799%>

Abstract: The "turn-of-the-year" effect is a well-documented stock market phenomenon in which low capitalization "small stocks" receive relatively higher returns than high capitalization "big stocks" on the last trading day of December and the first 8 trading days of January. The difference in returns during this period is of the order of 10%. Strategies for buying and selling these small stocks may be profitable, but may also incur large transaction costs that eliminate most or all of the projected gains. In this paper, we show a preferable way to invest in order to exploit this anomaly: use a futures spread that is long in the small stocks and short in the big stocks. The optimal investment, which uses a modification of the capital growth criterion, is large and has a substantial expected gain with minimal risk. We have used this analysis successfully in managing investment accounts.

[Remove from List](#)

Export citations in format.


[SEARCH](#) | [BROWSE](#) | [TIPS](#) | [SET PREFERENCES](#) | [ABOUT JSTOR](#) | [CONTACT](#)
[PRINT](#) | [DOWNLOAD](#) | [CITATION/STABLE URL](#) | [TABLE OF CONTENTS](#)

 Your access to JS
United States Patent an

[EXIT JSTOR](#)
[SAVE CITATION](#) | [VIEW SAVED CITATIONS](#) You have saved 0 citations

 p. 249 of 249-272 (1st of 24 pages) [Select Another Page](#)
[Previous Item in Journal](#) | [Next Item in Journal](#)
[Previous Page](#)

**Kenneth D. Garbade and
William L. Silber***

New York University

Futures Contracts on Commodities with Multiple Varieties: An Analysis of Premiums and Discounts

I. Introduction

In casual conversation, people often speak of a commodity as if it occurs in a single, homogeneous form. A moment's reflection reveals, however, that classifications like "gold" and "wheat" are actually labels for commodity groups that include varieties with different characteristics. For example, gold can differ according to fineness and wheat can differ according to grade. Such quality variations in a commodity rarely present a problem for cash (or spot) market transactions, because buyers and sellers can bargain over price in light of the characteristics of particular lots.¹ The situation is quite different for a futures contract, where price must be paid

In this paper we examine the structure of futures contracts on commodities with heterogeneous varieties. The structure of premiums and discounts allowed on different grades of a commodity is analyzed. We then identify penalty and equivalence systems for quality adjustments. We also explore the implications of the adjustment system for the risk exposure to hedgers using the fu

[SEARCH](#) | [BROWSE](#) | [TIPS](#) | [SET PREFERENCES](#) | [ABOUT JSTOR](#) | [CONTACT](#)[PRINT](#) | [DOWNLOAD](#) | [CITATION/STABLE URL](#) | [TABLE OF CONTENTS](#)Your access to JSTOR
United States Patent and Trademark Office[EXIT JSTOR](#)[SAVE CITATION](#) | [VIEW SAVED CITATIONS](#) You have saved 0 citationsp. [333] of 333-367 (1st of 35 pages) [Select Another Page](#)[Previous Item in Journal](#) | [Next Item in Journal](#)[Previous Page](#)

Inferring Future Volatility from the Information in Implied Volatility in Eurodollar Options: A New Approach

Kaushik I. Amin

Lehman Brothers

Victor K. Ng

Goldman Sachs & Co.

We study the information content of implied volatility from several volatility specifications of Heath-Jarrow-Morton (1992) (HJM) models relative to popular historical volatility models in the Eurodollar options market. The implied volatility from the HJM models explains much of the variation of realized interest rate volatility at both daily and monthly horizons. The implied volatility dominates the GARCH terms,


[SEARCH](#) | [BROWSE](#) | [TIPS](#) | [SET PREFERENCES](#) | [ABOUT JSTOR](#) | [CONTACT](#)
[PRINT](#) | [DOWNLOAD](#) | [CITATION/STABLE URL](#) | [TABLE OF CONTENTS](#)

 Your access to JS
United States Patent an

[EXIT JSTOR](#)
[SAVE CITATION](#) | [VIEW SAVED CITATIONS](#) You have saved 0 citations

 p. 2015 of 2015-2034 (1st of 20 pages) [Select Another Page](#)
[Previous Item in Journal](#) | [Next Item in Journal](#)
[Previous Page](#)

THE JOURNAL OF FINANCE • VOL. XLVII, NO. 5 • DECEMBER 1992

Futures-Trading Activity and Stock Price Volatility

HENDRIK BESSEMBINDER and PAUL J. SEGUIN*

ABSTRACT

We examine whether greater futures-trading activity (volume and open interest) is associated with greater equity volatility. We partition each trading activity series into expected and unexpected components, and document that while equity volatility covaries positively with unexpected futures-trading volume, it is negatively related to forecastable futures-trading activity. Further, though futures-trading activity is systematically related to the futures contract life cycle, we find no evidence of a relation between the futures life cycle and spot equity volatility. These findings are consistent with theories predicting that active futures markets enhance the liquidity and depth of the equity markets.

THE BELIEF THAT TRADING activity in equity futures markets can lead to excess volatility in spot equity markets is widely held. For example, John Shad, former chairman of the Securities and Exchange Commission asserts: "While stock index futures serve valid arbitrage and hedging purposes, they have escalated the leverage and volatility of the entire stock market to unacceptable levels."¹ As a consequence, asset market volatility and the role of futures trading has been the focus of substantial recent attention, including studies by the New York Stock Exchange, the Commodity Futures Trading Commission, the Securities and Exchange Commission, and a Presidential Task


[SEARCH](#) | [BROWSE](#) | [TIPS](#) | [SET PREFERENCES](#) | [ABOUT JSTOR](#) | [CONTACT](#)
[PRINT](#) | [DOWNLOAD](#) | [CITATION/STABLE URL](#) | [TABLE OF CONTENTS](#)

 Your access to JSTOR
 United States Patent and Trademark Office

[EXIT JSTOR](#)
[SAVE CITATION](#) | [VIEW SAVED CITATIONS](#) You have saved 0 citations

 p. 799 of 799-813 (1st of 15 pages) [Select Another Page](#)
[Previous Item in Journal](#) | [Next Item in Journal](#)
[Previous Page](#)

OR PRACTICE

PLAYING THE TURN-OF-THE-YEAR EFFECT WITH INDEX FUTURES

ROSS CLARK

Midland Doherty Limited, Vancouver, British Columbia

WILLIAM T. ZIEMBA

University of British Columbia, Vancouver, British Columbia

(Received March 1987; revision received August 1987; accepted August 1987)

The "turn-of-the-year" effect is a well-documented stock market phenomenon in which low capitalization "small stocks" receive relatively higher returns than high capitalization "big stocks" on the last trading day of December and the first 8 trading days of January. The difference in returns during this period is of the order of 10%. Strategies for buying and selling these small stocks may be profitable, but may also incur large transaction costs that eliminate most or all of the projected gains. In this paper, we show a preferable way to invest in order to exploit this anomaly: use a futures spread that is long in the small stocks and short in the big stocks. The optimal investment, which uses a modification of the capital growth criterion, is large and has a substantial expected gain with minimal risk. We have used this analysis successfully in managing investment accounts.

This paper reviews the literature on the small-firm, turn-of-the-year effect and provides an investment strategy for exploiting this empirical regularity. We begin by discussing the actual returns received from various investments over the last 60 years. The evidence indicates that small firms—that is, those with low capitalizations—greatly outperform other investments. Most of the excess gains over larger capitalization firms occur in January, particularly in the first few days of the month. We examine this evidence and try to explain why this anomaly occurs and is so

We first discuss the evidence for the turn-of-the-year effect, and then present our analysis of strategies for taking advantage of it. Finally, we describe our most recent experience in applying our present strategy.

1. The Evidence

Ibbotson Associates (1986) have considered the returns received from investments in United